

P.R. No. 3940/26
Presented by Shri. M.K. S. N. S. N. S. N.
dated. 25/7/26

Single Bench
100/-
4

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR

W.P. NO. 3869/2006

PETITIONER

:

Smt. Sohani Bai

Wife of Shri K.R. Bhagat

Aged about 50 years

R/o, Jashpur Tah. & Distt.

Jashpur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

:

1. **State of Chhattisgarh**
acting through Secretary
School Education Department
Govt. of Chhattisgarh
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur.
2. **The Collector, Jashpur**
Distt. Jashpur (C.G.)
3. **Block Education Officer**
Jashpur Dist. Jashpur (C.G.)



WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE
WRIT/WRITS, ORDER/ORDERS, DIRECTION/ DIRECTIONS
ETC.

COPY
11/02
PUS

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक

WP No. 3869/06

सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
६	<u>7-8-2006</u> Mr. M.K. Sinha, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Satish Gupta, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents, on advance copy. Heard. By the instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has challenged her transfer order dated 7th July, 2006 passed by respondent No.2, whereby the petitioner has been transferred from Block Education Office, Jashpur to Govt. Higher Secondary School, Narayanpur, Block: Kunkuri. The case of the petitioner is that she was originally appointed as Assistant Grade-III at Ambikapur under the Education Department. In the year 1990, she was promoted as Assistant Grade-II and posted at Manora, District: Jashpur. Thereafter, she was transferred to Block Education Office, Jashpur in the same year. Now, the petitioner has been transferred from Block Education Office, Jashpur to Govt. Higher Secondary School, Narayanpur, Block: Kunkuri, District: Jashpur by the impugned order dated 7th July, 2006. The petitioner is a lady and she is under medical treatment for various ailments. Her husband is also working under the Education Department posted as Assistant Teacher at Raigarh. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a lady and she has made a representation, but her representation is not being decided. Therefore, he further submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.1 and respondent No.1 be	

(पीछे देखिये)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित - 2 -	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
६	directed to decide the same, to which learned counsel appearing for the State has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner appears to be just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation annexing copy of the instant writ petition, raising all her grievances to respondent No.1 within ten days from today and in turn, respondent No.1 shall decide the same within 30 days from the date of its receipt, considering all the grievances raised by the petitioner, in an objective manner, in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the writ petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.3023/2006 stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.	Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge

Soma

(पीछे देखिये)

६
४.४.०६